QUANTIFICATION OF DISLOCATION CREEP MICROSTRUCTURES IN QUARTZ: COMPARISON OF NATURAL AND EXPERIMENTAL DEFORMATION

Renée Heilbronner, Basel University, Switzerland

Holger Stünitz, Basel University, Switzerland Michael Stipp, Freiburg University, Germany Jan Tullis, Brown University, U.S.A. Greg Hirth, WHOI, U.S.A.

DEFORMATION



"microstructures are the link between nature and experiment"

EXPERIMENTAL ROCK DEFORMATION



Griggs apparatus, solid medium

axial shearing

SAMPLE ASSEMBLY



Brazil quartz forcing blocks

Black Hills Quartzite

MECHANICAL DATA OF SHEARING EXPERIMENTS



shearing experiments: Black Hills quartzite, dislocations creep

UNDEFFORMED BLACK HILLS QUARTZITE





REGIME 1



REGIME 2



REGIME 3



NATURALLY DEFORMED QUARTZ VEINS



Stavel profile, Tonale Line, Northern Iltaly

BULGING



SUBGRAIN ROTATION



GRAIN BOUNDARY MIGRATION I



GRAIN BOUNDARY MIGRATION II



SCHEMATIC OF THREE REGIMES



lowT GBM dominated - SGR dominated - highT GBM dominated

CORRELATION NATURE - EXPERIMENT



DIAGNOSTIC PROPERTIES



vol% recrystallized

grain shape CPO development

COMPUTER-INTEGRATED POLARIZATION MICROSCOPY



Infrared-sensitive digital camera Infrared-sensitive video camera Rotating polarizer (360°) Microscope table with tilt stage Incandescent light source Condensor with $\lambda/4$ plate Rotating polarizer & lambda plate (360°)

Holder for rotating polarizer and narrowband interference filter (660, 700nm)

CIP = ORIENTATION MAPPING







c-AXIS ORIENTATION IMAGE



types of orientation images



- 1 c-axis orientation image
- 2 misorientation image
- 3 orientation gradient image



PARTIAL TEXTURES



4.98

2.69

3.06



with respect to Heaven

with respect to North

MISORIENTATION IMAGES









East

North

GRAIN BOUNDARY MAPPING



grain boundaries derived from 3 principal misorientation images

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

LAZY GRAIN BOUNDARIES (NIH Image macro) create grain boundary map from principal misorientation images

STRIPSTAR

(Fortran program) calculate 3-D grain size distribution from size distribution of sections



DEVELOPMENT OF CPO (experiments BHQ)



LOW DEFORMATION ($\gamma = 1.5$)



INTERMEDIATE DEFORMATION (γ = 2.5)



INTERMEDIATE DEFORMATION ($\gamma = 4$)



HIGH DEFORMATION ($\gamma = 6$)



domain size

DEVELOPMENT OF MICROFABRIC



 $\gamma = 0$ 1.5 4 6

POLE FIGURE DEVELOPMENT



MISORIENTATION TRACKING



... using 60° cones



 α -quartz





starting material = isotropic





transitional porphyroclast fabric



increasing recrystallization





completely recrystallized



DEVLOPMENT OF CPO WITH DEFORMATION



ANNEALING

1

high def. low def. annealed 2 3

100 µm





W938 regime 3

4.04

2.50



CPO DEVELOPMENT



CONCLUSIONS

- CPO = f (<u>STRAIN</u>, $\Delta \sigma$, T, ϵ , ... etc.)
- domains
- saturation of microstructure
- low T / regime: localization
 high T / regime: penetrative deformation
- annealing does not randomize fabric

experimental = natural rock deformation